

UC Grad Slam 2018: Scorecard

Contestant's Name: _____ Topic: _____ Round # _____

Judge's #: _____ Time: _____

Rank in Round

(no ties except at 4th place—circle *one*)

1st 2nd 3rd 4th

	<u>Excellent</u>		<u>Good</u>		<u>Poor</u>	<u>SCORE:</u>
<u>Clarity:</u> Did the speaker provide adequate background knowledge to make the talk and the importance of the project understandable?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<u>Organization:</u> Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<u>Delivery:</u> E.g., pace, enthusiasm, confidence, body language, eye contact, and vocal range.	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<u>Visuals:</u> If used, did the slides and/or props enhance the presentation and help to emphasize the primary points of the talk? Were the slides well designed, clear, legible, and concise? (*If no visuals were used, see note below.)	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<u>Appropriateness:</u> Was the topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent, but non-specialist audience? (For example, did the speaker avoid or explain discipline-specific jargon?)	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<u>Intellectual Significance:</u> Did the speaker explain why her/his project matters (for example, its significance to the academic discipline)?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
<u>Engagement:</u> To what extent did the talk speak to your intellectual curiosity? Did it make you want to learn more about the topic?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
Comments for the presenter (please offer a brief rationale for your ranking of this speaker):						<u>TOTAL SCORE:</u>

*If the presenter is not using visuals, a score of 5 points indicates that you understood the presentation and it kept your attention perfectly, so no visuals were required; a score of 1 point indicates that visuals were needed in order for you to understand the presentation.